Who are we teaching and how do we teach them?

William O. Bond and John C. Mayer

University of Alabama at Birmingham

Greater Birmingham Mathematics Partnership

> 1 Sept 2008

Motivating Questions

- How should pre-calculus (service/general education) courses be taught?
- What is the teaching role that one should model for future elementary and secondary teachers?
- How do we encourage reflection upon the professor's role as a teacher of teachers?

The Big Picture

 "The Wu Li master does not teach but the student learns"
 [Gary Zukav, *The Dancing Wu Li Masters*]

 Challenge the traditional paradigm of the sagacious mathematician delivering knowledge to the eager (or not so eager) student.

Topic Outline

- Part 1: Recent influences on Math Pedagogy at UAB
 - Greater Birmingham Mathematics Partnership (NSF Math/Science Partnership)
 - Quantitative Literacy (QL)
 - Course Reform: Active vs Passive Learning
- Part 2: Finite Mathematics (MA 110) at UAB
 - Wiliam Bond

Greater Birmingham Mathematics Partnership

• Partners in <u>GBMP</u>

- 9 Birmingham area school districts
- University of Alabama at Birmingham
- Birmingham Southern College
- Mathematics Education Collaborative (WA)
- Summer courses for in-service teachers
- Internal and external leadership development
- Parent and community awareness
- Course revision in higher education
 - Middle school mathematics certification
 - New mathematics major track at UAB

Challenging Courses and Curriculum (CCC)

 Deepening knowledge of important mathematical ideas

Productive disposition

Inquiry and reflection

Communication

Quantitative Literacy at UAB

• UAB SACS Re-Accreditation 2004 • Quality Enhancement Plan (QEP) Shift of General Education Focus – From: Checklist of courses Shared Vision for a UAB Graduate - To: Areas of QEP Emphasis in Shared Vision Communication through writing – Ethics and civic responsibility – Quantitative literacy (QL)

Course Reform: Active vs Passive Learning

- How to turn passive learners into active learners?
 - Engage them
 - Keep them motivated
 - Pay them with grades
- First Step
 - Reduce didactic instruction
 - Adopt computer-assisted instruction
 - Variety of problems (on the computer)

GBMP Summer Courses

- Longitudinal data on teachers' mathematics content knowledge
 - <u>CKTM</u> is a (algebra) teaching/content knowledge test largely based on Deborah Ball's work
- Analysis of middle school student test data

– <u>SAT 10</u>

Center for Educational Accountability (CEA) at UAB Rachel Cochran, chief GBMP evaluator

CKTM Longitudinal Data

• n=21 teachers

- **Pre** = day before Patterns (1st course)
- **Post** = last day of Patterns
- Long = at least one year after Patterns and last day of second or third course

Pre-Post

- Median increase:
 + 3 points
- Range of increase:
 -2 to +10
- IQR: +2 to +5
- Two decreased, two stayed the same, rest went up

CKTM Longitudinal Data

Post-Long

- Median increase:
 +2 points
- Range of increase:
 -3 to +5
- IQR: +0 to +3
- Three decreased, five stayed the same, rest went up

Pre-Long

- Median increase:
 +5 points
- Range of increase:
 -2 to +10
- IQR: +2 to +7
- One decreased, rest went up



Changes in GBMP Schools by Implementation Level

- 3 systems for which SAT-10 scores available
 - <u>High</u> Implementing Schools
 - <u>Medium</u> Implementing Schools
 - Low Implementing Schools
- Changes in students' scores 2006\2007 compared
- Statistically significant interaction



Student Data



YEAR

13 Sept 2008

Student Data



14 Sept 20<u>08</u>

Finite Mathematics MA 110 at UAB

- Base: Computer assisted instruction
 Power:
 - Why value group work?
 - What comes from frustration?
 - Comparative Study of Pedagogy Underway



Active Learning – Computer: All Pre-Calculus Classes

- 1/3: One class meeting per week
 What do we do with this class meeting?
- 2/3: Assigned and self-selected time in Mathematics Learning Lab (MLL)
- Assessment
 - Attendance (class & lab) (14-28%)
 - 20-30 homework problems per week (7-10%)
 - Weekly quiz (7-10%)
 - Four tests per semester (and final) (60-70%)

• Variety of assistance on computer and in lab

Computer Assisted Instruction

• PROS

- Actively engaged with material
- More time spent on task
- On-demand help in lab

• CONS

- Algorithmic learning
- Emphasis on memorization
- Computation rather than thought
- Tenuous connection with QL



Group Work Class Format in MA 110

- Groups of three to four people are selected at random at the beginning of each class
- Each group is given the same in-class problem
- Group of Four Rules
- Groups write up a solution and explanation
- Groups volunteer to share their solution and reasoning with the class

Group of Four Rules

- Each member takes responsibility for his/her own learning
- Each member is willing to help every other member who asks for help
- Groups may ask the teacher for help only when <u>all</u> members have the same question
- There is always a further challenge!

Mathematics Education Collaborative

Why Value Group Work?

- Addresses cons of computer assisted instruction
 - Students construct their own mathematical understanding
 - Emphasis on problem solving, communication, and justification
 - Addresses UAB QL goals
- Ideas inspired by GBMP summer courses
 - Focus on "big" mathematical ideas
 - Expandable tasks
 - Importance of frustration to learning process

What comes from Frustration?

- Building of self-esteem and productive disposition
- Deeper understanding of content
- Long term retention
- Improved ability to communicate mathematical thinking
- Improved problem-solving abilities
- We see all this in the GBMP summer courses for teachers.

Comparative Study, Fall 2008: MA 110 Class Formats

- Same computer assisted lab instruction
 Three different class meeting formats
 - Lecture on up-coming material
 - <u>Lecture</u> on up-coming material and weekly in-class short <u>quiz</u>
 - Group work with no prior instruction
- Random assignment of students to class formats

Why a Comparative Study?

Previous data based on

- GBMP summer courses for teachers
- UAB mathematics courses for elementary teachers
- No computer assisted instruction component
- Will the combined approach work for general studies students?



Comparative Study: Measurements

- Content pre-test and post-test
 - Problem identification
 - Problem-solving
 - Explanation
- Mathematics self-efficacy survey
- Course grades
- Focus groups at end of semester
- Delayed post-test (one year)

Comparative Study: Hypotheses

- <u>Hypothesis 1</u>: Classes will have similar grades regardless of class meeting format
- <u>Hypothesis 2</u>: Group work class will have improved mathematics self-efficacy
- <u>Hypothesis 3</u>: Group work class will have improved mathematics communication skills
- <u>Hypothesis 4</u>: General studies students will benefit from inquiry-based instruction in mathematics



Summary of Results Watch this space



Where to Get More Information

- http://www.math.uab.edu/GBMP/
- <u>http://gbmp.mspnet.org/index.cfm/</u>

